## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case 3:14-cr-00298-MFOROCOMMOROR582ERFile(\$1021/0500 TH2/2006 TH2/2006 1 of 1 PageID 1988 DALLAS DIVISION

UNITE	ED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
VS.		)	CASE NO.: 3:14-CR-298-M (15)
DANII	E <b>L CAILLIER,</b> Defendant.	)	
			COMMENDATION OF THE NCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY
Magist 28 U.S Magist Court a Indicti	nt of the defendant, and the Report and rate Judge, and no objections thereto ha .C. § 636(b)(1), the undersigned District rate Judge concerning the Plea of Guilty accepts the plea of guilty, and <b>DANIEL C</b>	Recommendation aving been filed with Judge is of the oping is correct, and it is CAILLIER is herelat is, Conspiracy	ne Notice Regarding Entry of a Plea of Guilty, the Concerning Plea of Guilty of the United States thin fourteen days of service in accordance with nion that the Report and Recommendation of the shereby accepted by the Court. Accordingly, the by adjudged guilty of Count 1 of the superseding to Defraud the United States. Sentence will be
	The defendant is ordered to remain in	custody.	
⊠	The Court adopts the findings of the United States Magistrate Judge by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	Upon motion, this matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination, by clear and convincing evidence, of whether the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	The defendant is ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2). The defendant shall self-surrender to the United States Marshal no later than		
	<ul> <li>☐ There is a substantial likelihoo</li> <li>☐ The Government has recommed</li> <li>☐ This matter shall be set for conditions of release for determ</li> </ul>	od that a motion for ended that no sente hearing before the nination, by clear as	S.C. § 3143(a)(2) because the Court finds r acquittal or new trial will be granted, or ence of imprisonment be imposed, and e United States Magistrate Judge who set the ad convincing evidence, of whether the defendant on or the community if released under § 3142(b)
	a motion alleging that there are excepted detained under § 3143(a)(2). This matter who set the conditions of release for exceptional circumstances under § 314	ptional circumstar ter shall be set for h determination of v 45(c) why the defer and convincing ev	S.C. § 3143(a)(2) because the defendant has filed aces under § 3145(c) why he/she should not be learing before the United States Magistrate Judge whether it has been clearly shown that there are indant should not be detained under § 3143(a)(2), widence that the defendant is likely to flee or pose in dunder § 3142(b) or (c).

SIGNED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016.

WARBARA M. G.LYNN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS